PSM 1 EVALUATION 2 : EVALUATOR

CLO1-20%
CLO2-15%
CLO3-5%

NUM	COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY SCALE						Weightage	Mark Given	FINAL 40%
		Excellent	Good	Moderate	Weak	Very Weak	Fail			
		5	4	3	2	1	0			
	PROJECT PROPOSAL									
CLO1	**INTRODUCTION** **- explanation should consist of domain background, importance of the subject and current issues according to proposed topic**	Clearly written with all necessary elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily written with most necessary elements	<in between>	Poorly written and missing most necessary elements	No explanation	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**PROBLEM STATEMENT** **- explanation of problem should be related to the domain / knowledge or solution gap**	Clearly stated and explained with supporting citation	<in between>	Stated with explanation without supporting citation	<in between>	Poorly stated with insufficient or irrelevant explanation	Problem not stated	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**OBJECTIVE** **- MUST be 3 Objectives (reflecting SMART concept) and must be aligned with Problem Statement**	All objectives are clearly stated reflecting SMART concept and aligned with problem statement	<in between>	Objectives are stated and aligned with problem statement but not all reflecting SMART concept	<in between>	Objectives are poorly stated	No objectives	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**SCOPE** **- Whichever relevant: Features/functions, limitations/constraints, boundaries, stakeholders, resources (equipment, software, hardware etc).**	Clearly defined incorporating all necessary elements	<in between>	Partially defined incorporating some of the elements	<in between>	Poorly defined with many missing elements	Scope not defined	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**LITERATURE REVIEW (description and analysis)** **- Well organised and clearly structured** **- Minimum 3 existing works relevant to the project/research must be well described and properly cited** **- The existing works must be critically analysed and evaluated**	Clearly written and fulfil all criteria	<in between>	Satisfactorily written and fulfil some criteria	<in between>	Poorly written and does not fulfil most criteria	No explanation	1	5	**5.00**

CLO	Criteria									
CLO1	**PROJECT/RESEARCH LIFECYCLE** **- should describe on the implementation of project/research lifecycle** **Project Based: SDLC (Agile,RAD etc)** **Research Based: Research Framework/ Model/ Methodology/ Research Activities**	The described lifecycle is practical and applicable to the project	<in between>	The described lifecycle is somewhat practical and applicable	<in between>	The described lifecycle is neither practical nor applicable to the project	No description	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**PROJECT REQUIREMENT** **- should describe the requirement related to the project comprehensively, clearly, and must be aligned with the OBJECTIVES of the project/research** **Project Based: Functional and Non-Functional Requirement, Constraints and limitations etc.** **Research Based: Input, Output, Process description, Constraints and limitations, Case Study etc.**	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	<in between>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No explanation	0.6	5	**3.00**
CLO2	**PROPOSED DESIGN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the proposed design related to project requirement.** **Project Based: Context Diagram, Use Case Diagram & description, Activity diagram** **Research Based: Pseudocode/Algorithm/Flowchart/Model**	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	<in between>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No explanation	0.6	5	**3.00**
CLO2	**DATA DESIGN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the data related to the project** **Project Based: ERD, Database Design (PK, FK) / Data Model / Input data, Output data.** **Research Based: Dataset description**	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	<in between>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No data design provided	0.6	5	**3.00**

CLO	Criteria	Excellent		Satisfactory		Poor		Weight	Max	Total
CLO2	**PROOF OF CONCEPT/PROTOTYPE [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- prototype with design that is up to the standard** **Project based:** **- Complete/detail prototype design** **- Sequence of interaction/system flow** **Research based:** **- Evidence of early work as defined by RG (e.g., initial model/algorithm/framework etc)** **- Functions/library/data are well described**	Excellently done and fulfil all defined criteria	<in between>	Satisfactorily done and fulfil most defined criteria	<in between>	Poorly done and does not fulfil most defined criteria	No explanation	1.2	5	6.00
CLO2	**TESTING PLAN/VALIDATION PLAN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the relevant and comprehensive testing strategy/approach** **- present the simple set of input, processess and output and comparison of result**	Testing approach is relevant, comprehensive and well described	<in between>	Testing approach is relevant but not comprehensive, satisfactorily described	<in between>	Insufficiently explained.	Testing approach is irrelevant and poorly described	0.6	5	3.00
CLO1	**POTENTIAL USE OF PROPOSED SOLUTION** **- explanation of potential use of proposed solution in real time situation**	Well explained with relevant/valid potential use	<in between>	Satisfactorily explained with relevant/valid potential use	<in between>	Poorly explained and potential use is hardly relevant	No explanation	0.2	5	1.00
CLO1	**REFERENCE** **-Minimum 10 references related to the project must be stated.** **- Must follow the proposal format**	All references provided are related to the content and correctly formatted	<in between>	Some references are not related to the content but mostly in correct format	<in between>	All references are not related to the content	No references	0.2	5	1.00
	AFFECTIVE-PLO5									
CLO3	**Oral Communication** **The ability to deliver ideas clearly and effectively through verbal.**	Excellent communication skills demonstrated	<in between>	Satisfactory communication skills demonstrated	<in between>	Poor communication skills demonstrated	No oral communication.	0.2	5	1.00
CLO3	**Written Communication** **The ability to write an academic discourse (project proposal) which has a coherent flow that is clear and easy to comprehend.**	Project proposal is excellently written	<in between>	Project proposal is satisfactorily written	<in between>	Project proposal is poorly written	No written communication.	0.2	5	1.00
CLO3	**Responding to Question** **The ability to respond to questions using appropriate language.**	Accurate response with proper language	<in between>	Satisfactory response with proper language	<in between>	Poor response	No respond to question.	0.6	5	3.00
										40.00