PSM 1 EVALUATION 3 : SUPERVISOR

CLO1-10%
CLO2-15%
CLO4-5%

NUM	COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY SCALE						Weightage	Mark Given	FINAL 30%
		Excellent	**Good**	**Moderate**	**Weak**	**Very Weak**	**Fail**			
		5	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**0**			
				PROJECT PROPOSAL						
CLO1	**INTRODUCTION, PROBLEM STATEMENT, OBJECTIVE, SCOPE** - all elements are properly, relevantly and accurately written with proper organisation and flow	All elements are excellently written with proper organisation and flow	<in between>	Most elements are satisfactorily written with moderate quality of organisation and flow	<in between>	Most elements are poorly written and poorly organised	No explanation	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**LITERATURE REVIEW (description and analysis)** - Well organised and clearly structured - Minimum 3 existing works relevant to the project/research must be well described and properly cited - The existing works must be critically analysed and evaluated	All related works are critically analysed with supporting citations	<in between>	Related works are partially analysed with supporting citations	<in between>	Insufficiently analysed.	No literature review	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**PROJECT/RESEARCH LIFECYCLE** - should describe on the implementation of project/research lifecycle Project Based: SDLC (Agile,RAD etc) Research Based: Research Framework/ Model/ Methodology/ Research Activities	The described lifecycle is practical and applicable to the project	<in between>	The described lifecycle is somewhat practical and applicable	<in between>	The described lifecycle is neither practical nor applicable to the project	No description	0.2	5	**1.00**
CLO1	**PROJECT REQUIREMENT** - should describe the requirement related to the project comprehensively, clearly, and must be aligned with the OBJECTIVES of the project/research Project Based: Functional and Non-Functional Requirement, Constraints and limitations etc. Research Based: Input, Output, Process description, Constraints and limitations, Case Study etc.	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	<in between>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	<in between>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No explanation	0.6	5	**3.00**

CLO2	**PROPOSED DESIGN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the proposed design related to project requirement.** **Project Based: Context Diagram, Use Case Diagram & description, Activity diagram** **Research Based:** **Pseudocode/Algorithm/Flowchart/Model**	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	\<in between\>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	\<in between\>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No explanation	0.6	5	**3.00**
CLO2	**DATA DESIGN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the data related to the project** **Project Based: ERD, Database Design (PK, FK) / Data Model / Input data, Output data.** **Research Based: Dataset description**	Clearly explained incorporating all required elements	\<in between\>	Satisfactorily explained but missing some elements	\<in between\>	Poorly explained and missing most elements	No data design provided	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO2	**PROOF OF CONCEPT/PROTOTYPE [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- prototype with design that is up to the standard** **Project based:** **- Complete/detail prototype design** **Research based:** **- Functions/library/data are well described**	Excellently done and fulfil all defined criteria	\<in between\>	Satisfactorily done and fulfil most defined criteria	\<in between\>	Poorly done and does not fulfil most defined criteria	No explanation	0.8	5	**4.00**
CLO2	**PROOF OF CONCEPT/PROTOTYPE [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- demonstrate evidence of early work** **Project based:** **- Sequence of interaction/system flow** **Research based:** **- Evidence of early work as defined by RG (e.g., initial model/algorithm/framework etc)**	Excellently done and fulfil all defined criteria	\<in between\>	Satisfactorily done and fulfil most defined criteria	\<in between\>	Poorly done and does not fulfil most defined criteria	No explanation	0.8	5	**4.00**
CLO2	**TESTING PLAN/VALIDATION PLAN [CRITERIA BASED ON RG]** **- should describe the relevant and comprehensive testing strategy/approach** **- present the simple set of input, processess and output and comparison of result**	Testing approach is relevant, comprehensive and well described	\<in between\>	Testing approach is relevant but not comprehensive, satisfactorily described	\<in between\>	Testing approach is irrelevant and poorly described	No testing approach	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO1	**POTENTIAL USE OF PROPOSED SOLUTION** **- explanation of potential use of proposed solution in real time situation**	Well explained with relevant/valid potential use	\<in between\>	Satisfactorily explained with relevant/valid potential use	\<in between\>	Poorly explained and potential use is hardly relevant	No explanation	0.2	5	**1.00**

CLO1	**REFERENCE** **-Minimum 10 references related to the project must be stated.** **-Facts are properly cited with correct reference in the proposal content** **-Must follow the proposal format**	All references provided are related to the content and correctly formatted	\<in between\>	Some references are not related to the content but mostly in correct format	\<in between\>	All references are not related to the content	No references provided	0.2	5	**1.00**
				AFFECTIVE PLO11						
CLO4	**ACADEMIC INTERGRITY** **- ability to sustain academic integrity (no plagiarism of any sort)** **- below 25% similarity index by Turnitin** **- adherence to faculty/university guidelines/regulations** **- respect for intellectual property (if related)**	Demonstrate high academic integrity (all elements fulfilled)	\<inbetween\>	Partially violating academic integrity (some elements violated)	\<inbetween\>	Major violation of academic integrity	Total violation on academic integrity	0.2	5	**1.00**
CLO4	**QUALITY OF SUPERVISOR and STUDENT PROGRESS** **- Consistently record all meeting in Logbook. Punctual for all commitments. Prepares by reading and completing project task as necessary.** **- Minimal 7 times update the progress/meeting between supervisor and student.** **- Meeting can be done through F2F or any technological platform (Whatsapp, facebook, Zoom etc.)**	Clearly meets the quality.	\<in between\>	Partially meets the quality.	\<in between\>	Insufficiently meets the quality	No meeting.	0.4	5	**2.00**
CLO4	**ATTITUDE** **- Treats supervisors with respect, courtesy, and tact.** **- Shows enthusiasm and optimism.**	Attitude fully complied.	\<in between\>	Attitude partially complied	\<in between\>	Attitude insufficiently complied.	Poor attitude	0.4	5	**2.00**
										30.00